

**118 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4598-2023

Date of Decision: 12.05.2023

Sujata and another

..... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJESH BHARDWAJ

Present: Mr. Navkaran Singh Sandhu, Advocate, for the petitioners.

Rajesh Bhardwaj, J. (ORAL)

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of direction to respondents No.2 and 3 to protect life and liberty of the petitioners and to restrain the private respondents No.4 to 7 not to harass or interfere in the peaceful married life of the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioners states that both the petitioners are major and have married against the wishes of respondents no.4 to 7 and have sought protection to their life and liberty. They apprehend danger from respondents no.4 to 7. Their marriage certificate evidencing their marriage is on record as Annexure P-3. The petitioners have submitted a representation dated 08.05.2023 (Annexure P-4) to respondent no.2.

Notice of motion to the official respondents only.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Deputy Advocate General, Punjab accepts notice on behalf of the State.

Without entering upon an exercise to evaluate the evidentiary value of the documents placed on the file, I dispose of the petition with a direction to respondent no.2-Senior Superintendent of Police, Amritsar, to

decide the representation (Annexure P-4) of the petitioners and grant them protection, if any threat to their life and liberty is perceived.

It is clarified that this order shall not be taken to grant immunity to the petitioners from legal action for violation of law, if any, committed by them. This order would not be understood having expressed any opinion whatsoever by this Court on the validity of the marriage of the petitioners.

Disposed of.

12.05.2023
sharmila

Whether Speaking/Reasoned
Whether Reportable

(RAJESH BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

: Yes/No
: Yes/No