

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-10246-2023

Date of decision : 11.05.2023

Dilraj Singh

... Petitioner

Versus

Vice Chancellor and another

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHLPresent: Mr.Bhawesh Chaudhary, Advocate
for the petitioner.**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for setting aside the order dated 06.04.2023 (Annexure P-3) passed by respondent no.2 vide which the petitioner was rusticated /expelled from the University.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that respondent no.2 vide order dated 06.04.2023 has rusticated the petitioner from the University on disciplinary grounds on the recommendation of the Disciplinary Committee of GNA University and neither the Registrar nor the Disciplinary Committee had given any opportunity of hearing to the petitioner. It is submitted that although an FIR has been registered against the petitioner but in case an opportunity of hearing is granted to the petitioner, he would be able to explain his side of the incident. It is stated that examinations of the petitioner are starting from 22.05.2023 and has

Vice Chancellor of GNA, be considered by the Vice Chancellor on or before 18.05.2023 and in case the Vice Chancellor finds merit in the plea raised by the petitioner, then the petitioner be readmitted to the University and he be permitted to sit in the examinations of 4th Semester starting from 22.05.2023.

3. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.1-Vice Chancellor, GMA University to consider the legal notice dated 27.04.2023 on or before 18.05.2023 and in case respondent no.1 is of the opinion that there is merit in the plea raised by the petitioner, then necessary relief in accordance with law be granted to the petitioner as expeditiously as possible.

4. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent no.1 would consider the legal notice independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 11, 2023
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No