

207

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-24745-2022
Decided on : 23.03.2023

Ranbir Singh

..... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

..... Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. S.K.Rana, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Chetan Sharma, AAG, Haryana.

Manjari Nehru Kaul, J.

The present petition has been filed under Section 438 Cr.P.C., for grant of anticipatory bail to the petitioners, in case FIR No.59 dated 04.02.2022 registered under Sections 406, 420, 467, 468, 471, 120-B IPC at Police Station Sadar Ballabhgarh, District Faridabad.

Vide order dated 01.06.2022, petitioner was granted the concession of anticipatory bail on the following terms:-

“The learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that even as per the FIR the main allegations are against the co-accused Vijay and so far as the petitioner is concerned, he is rather suffering from Cancer and he has undergone repeated Chemotherapy treatment and has also annexed Annexure P-2 medical reports and has submitted that the condition of the petitioner is not good and there is no likelihood that the petitioner may flee from justice in view of the aforesaid medical status of the petitioner.”

Learned counsel for the petitioner states that pursuant to order dated 01.06.2022, passed by this Court, the petitioner has joined the investigation. This fact is not disputed by the counsel for the State as well.

Learned State counsel on instructions states that though the petitioner has joined the investigation, however, he has not fully cooperated with the investigating agency.

The petition is allowed and interim order dated 01.06.2022, is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. In case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

23.03.2023
sonia

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes/No
Whether reportable :	Yes/No