

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

238

CRM-M-25039-2022

Date of decision: 27.04.2023

Sohan Lal Sharma and others

.....Petitioners

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : None for the petitioners.

Ms. Rajni Gupta, Addl. A.G., Haryana
for respondent No.1-State.

None for respondents No.2 and 3.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petition is for quashing of FIR No.142 dated 03.03.2022 under Sections 148, 149, 323, 341 and 506 of the Indian Penal Code, 1860 registered at Police Station Sector 32-33, Karnal, District Karnal and the consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 27.05.2022 (Annexure P-2) arrived at, between the parties.

2. Vide order dated 08.02.2023 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaq Magistrate on 03.03.2023 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Report has since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Karnal, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the report compromise has

indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainant has also made statement to the effect that he would have no objection if the FIR *qua* the accused-petitioners is quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed copies of the statements of the parties alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel too submits that there are no other accused other than the petitioners and respondents No.2 and 3 are the only aggrieved persons in the FIR in question.

6. In view of the report of the learned Judicial Magistrate First Class, Karnal and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in *Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303*, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in *Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052*, the instant petition is allowed. The aforesaid FIR and all consequential proceedings arising out of it, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

27.04.2023

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No