

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

(217)

**CWP-12460-2019
Decided on : 12.09.2023**

Ramesh Kumar

.....Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondent(s)

**CORAM : HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE G.S. SANDHAWALIA
HON'BLE MS.JUSTICE HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN**

Present: Mr. Divyam Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Vikram Singh, Advocate for the petitioner (s).

Mr. Arun Beniwal, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Deepak Sabherwal, Advocate for respondents No.2 & 3.

G.S. Sandhawalia, J. (Oral)

In the the present writ petition filed under Article 226/227 of the Constitution of India the petitioner is seeking directions against the respondents to consider the claim under the oustees quota for allotment of plot.

2. It is the case of the petitioner that allotment has been done in favour of the petitioner as a oustee alongwith one Chottan, whose name has also been mentioned in the allotment letter dated 15.05.2007. Apparently, Chottan has not been even made a party nor any relief has been prayed for against him, though averments have been qua him that his name be removed from the allotment.

3. We are of the considered opinion that in the absence of said person being impleaded, the present writ petition is not maintainable.

4. Faced with this situation, counsel for the petitioner submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present writ petition with liberty to file a fresh one, in case the cause of action still subsists.

5. Ordered accordingly.

(G.S. SANDHAWALIA)
JUDGE

12.09.2023
Naveen

(HARPREET KAUR JEEWAN)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :	Yes	
Whether Reportable :		No