

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT  
CHANDIGARH.**

**CRM-M-24527-2023**

**Date of Decision:-09.11.2023**

**Satish & Anr.**

.....Petitioners.

Versus

**State of Haryana & Anr.**

.....Respondents.

**CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI**

Present:- Ms. Anshul Agnihotri, Advocate for  
Ms. Pooja Jaglan, Advocate for the Petitioners.

Mr. Rajiv Goel, Deputy Advocate General, Haryana.

Mr. Navneet Singh, Advocate for the respondent no.2.

\*\*\*

**JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)**

The present petition has been filed under Section 482 Cr.PC for quashing of an FIR No.269 dated 26.03.2023 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 506 IPC registered at P.S. Samalkha, Panipat and all other consequential proceedings arising therefrom, on the basis of compromise dated 13.04.2023 (Annexure P-2) entered into between the parties.

Vide order dated 15.05.2023, this Court had directed the parties to appear before Illaqa Magistrate for getting their statements recorded in terms of certain parameters given in the aforesaid order dated 15.05.2023 with regard to the compromise dated 13.04.2023 (Annexure P-2).

In terms of the order dated 15.05.2023 passed by this Court

parties have appeared before the court of Mr. Ashok Kumar-IV, Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Samalkha (Panipat) and as per report dated 28.08.2023 submitted to this Court, both the parties have got recorded their respective statements in Court.

Perusal of the aforesaid report would show that the parties have effected a genuine compromise without there being any pressure, coercion or undue influence. In view of the compromise there is a remote possibility of the complainant coming forward to support the prosecution case. The powers under Section 482 Cr.PC can be exercised in such like situation in order to prevent unnecessary vagaries of criminal trial to be faced by the parties, when there are remote chances of conviction of the accused. The compromise in question is found to be fully in consonance with the direction issued by the Court in ***Kulwinder Singh & Ors. Vs. State of Punjab 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab & Anr., 2012(4) RCR (Crl.) 543.***

In view of the aforesaid report of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Samalkha (Panipat) accompanied by statements of both the parties, the FIR No.269 dated 26.03.2023 (Annexure P-1) registered under Sections 148, 149, 323, 324, 506 IPC registered at P.S. Samalkha, Panipat and all consequential proceedings arising therefrom are hereby quashed.

Petition stands disposed of.

( JASJIT SINGH BEDI )  
JUDGE

November 09, 2023  
Vinay

|                           |        |
|---------------------------|--------|
| Whether speaking/reasoned | Yes/No |
| Whether reportable        | Yes/No |