

260+2

2023:PHHC:151759

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-10121-2023

Date of Decision:29.11.2023

SUNIL KUMAR

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Namish Gautam, Advocate for
Mr. Dheeraj Mahanjan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that petitioner belongs to SC (Ramdasia and others) and cut off under said category was 156 marks whereas petitioner had secured 148 marks, thus, claim of the petitioner is not sustainable.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner expressed his inability to controvert the aforesaid fact, however, he prays that he may be granted to liberty to move an appropriate application, if this fact is found incorrect.
3. Disposed of with aforesaid liberty.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

29.11.2023

Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No