

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT CHANDIGARH
266 CRM-M-45406-2017 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.09.2023

Varinder Pal Singh

...Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab & Others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MS. JUSTICE NIDHI GUPTA

Present: - Mr. Pardeep Virk, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Kunwarbir Singh, AAG Punjab.

Mr. ADS Sukhija, Advocate
for respondents No.2 to 8.

NIDHI GUPTA, J.

Learned counsel for the petitioner-complainant very fairly concedes that present petition is not maintainable as vide the impugned order, the respondents No.2 to 8/accused have been discharged and therefore, revision petition ought to have been filed before the Court of Sessions. Learned counsel for the petitioner however, submits that in view of the fact that this is a petition of the year 2017, question of limitation would stand against the present petitioner. Learned counsel submits that he may be permitted to withdraw the present petition with liberty to approach the Court of Sessions. However, limitation be not allowed to stand in the way of the petitioner in filing the revision petition.

Dismissed as withdrawn with liberty as aforesaid. In case a Revision Petition is filed by the petitioner before the concerned Sessions

Court within six weeks from today, the learned Sessions Court may consider the question of limitation in accordance with law.

Pending application(s) if any also stand(s) disposed of.

21.09.2023

Sunena

(Nidhi Gupta)
Judge

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No