

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

109

CRWP-4574-2023 (O&M)

Decided on :15.05.2023

Tania Arora and another

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Anoop Singla, Advocate for the petitioners.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Petitioner No.1- Tania Arora, aged about 19 years and petitioner No.2 – Vijay Kumar Dhalla, aged about 34 years have filed instant petition filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India, seeking issuance of directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to provide protection of their lives and liberty, who have married against the wishes of private respondents, who are parents and brothers of petitioner No. 1.

2. While hearing the petition on 11.05.2023, following order was passed by this Court:-

“Present: Mr. Anoop Singla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Anmol Singh Sandhu, AAG, Punjab.

1. Noticing difference in the ages of the petitioners Tania Arora, aged 19 years and Vijay Kumar Dhalla, aged 34 years, learned State counsel is directed to verify the credentials of both the petitioners.

2. Adjourned to 15.05.2023.”

3. Today, Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab, on instructions from ASI Iqbal Singh, states that as per the enquiry done by the police, petitioner No.2 never got married earlier and he is in the mobile repairing job at his own residence i.e. address given in the present petition.

4. Learned counsel for petitioners submits that both the petitioners have got married on 03.05.2023 against the wishes of their family members,

arrayed as respondents No. 4 to 6. It is first marriage of both the petitioners. It has been further submitted that private respondents are threatening to interfere in the matrimonial life of the petitioners. Hence, petitioners are seeking protection in that regard and have approached this Court by way of filing the instant petition. They have also submitted a representation dated 08.05.2023 (Annexure P-4), to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot, Punjab, wherein, they have expressed their apprehension.

5. Notice of motion.

6. On advance notice, Mr. J.S. Arora, DAG, Punjab, who is present in the Court, accepts notice on behalf of respondents Nos.1 to 3 (State).

7. In view of the above, present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 – Senior Superintendent of Police, Faridkot, Punjab, to look into the representation dated 08.05.2023 (Annexure P-4), *qua* threat perception and if there is any substance in it, take necessary steps, in accordance with law, to ensure that lives and liberty of the petitioners, is not jeopardized at the hands of the private respondents.

8. However, this direction will not validate the marriage said to have taken place between the parties and will have no effect on any civil or criminal action, which could be initiated in the matter in accordance with law.

9. Disposed of.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

15.05.2023

Riya

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No