

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

101

2023:PHHC:070305
CRM-M-23574-2023
DECIDED ON: 16.05.2023

Mangal Singh (wrongly written as Maingal Singh)Petitioner

VERSUS

State of PunjabRespondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA

Present: Ms. Monika Thakur, Advocate, for the petitioner.
Mr. Jaiteshwar S.Bhandari, AAG, Punjab.

SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA, J (ORAL)

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is 75% disable and is brother of the husband of the prosecutrix. Both the prosecutrix and her husband have already divorced in 2021 and the prosecutrix has married one Karamjit Singh. Copy of the labour card (Annexure P-3) has been placed before this Court.

Learned State counsel states that the statement of the prosecutrix under Section 164 Cr.P.C was recorded and she has reiterated the version as mentioned in the FIR.

I have considered the submissions.

Taking into consideration the aspects as mentioned by the prosecutrix and also taking into consideration that it is unlikely that the petitioner to have committed crime as alleged. It appears to me a case of false implication. I am inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.

Allowed.

(SANJEEV PRAKASH SHARMA)
JUDGE

16.05.2023
mamta

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No