

2023:PHHC:067002

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

Sr. No.108

CRM-M-23604-2023

Date of Decision : May 10, 2023

Tejinder Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUDHIR MITTAL

Present: Mr. Chahit Bansal, Advocate, for the petitioner.

SUDHIR MITTAL, J. (ORAL)

It is submitted that respondents No.9 & 10 stole some equipments from the workshop of the petitioner which led to submission of a complaint before the Senior Superintendent of Police, Barnala (respondent No.3). He marked an inquiry to respondent No.4 who has submitted a report that no theft took place. Theft is established by the wordings of compromise (Annexure P-4) and the report of the Deputy Superintendent of Police is actually incorrect. Thereafter, a representation has been submitted before respondent No.2 but no action has been taken thereupon till date.

2. Notice of motion to respondents No.1 to 5 only.

3. Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondents No.1 to 5 and waives service.

4. Considering the nature of the order being passed, no reply is necessary.

5. The petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to decide the pending representation (Annexure P-5) received in the office of respondent No.2 on 21.09.2022, by passing a speaking order within eight weeks from the date of receipt of a certified copy of this order.

May 10, 2023

Ankur

**(SUDHIR MITTAL)
JUDGE**

Whether speaking/reasoned

Yes

Whether Reportable

No