

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CRM-W-654-2023 In/And
CRWP-4517-2023
Date of Decision:26.05.2023**

Neha Malik and Anr.

...Petitioners

vs.

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

Coram : Hon'ble Mr. Justice N.S.Shekhawat

Present : Mr. Sukhcharan Singh Gill, Advocate for
Mr. Rampal kaushik, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Gaurav Gurcharan Singh Rai, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. Raman Chahar, Advocate
for respondents No.4 and 5.

N.S.Shekhawat J. (Oral)

CRM-W-654-2023

This is an application, under Rule 3(A)(i) of Chapter6, Part-B, Volume-V, of the High Court Rules and Orders, seeking leave to appeal, act or plead before this Court.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed as prayed for.

CRWP-4517-2023

The petitioners have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India with a prayer to direct the respondents No.2 and 3 to protect their lives and liberty as they apprehend threat at the hands of respondents No.4 to 11. Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that both

the petitioners are major and had solemnized the marriage as per Hindu Rites and Ceremonies on dated 06.05.2023. However, respondents No.4 to 11 were opposed to said marriage and were constantly threatening them. The petitioners also submitted a representation Annexure-P5 to the respondent No.2-Superintendent of Police, Katihal, but no action was taken on the same.

In compliance of the last order, both the petitioners are present in person before this Court and submitted that they are being threatened by the private respondents.

Upon notice, a status report by way of an affidavit of Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kalayat, District Kaithal has been filed on behalf of respondents No.1 to 3, which is taken on record.

Learned State counsel submits that an enquiry has been conducted in the matter by ASI Sandeep Kumar. The statements of the private respondents have been recorded and they stated that they have no objection with this marriage, nor there is any threat to the lives and liberty of the petitioners from them.

Learned State counsel further submits that in case any complaint is received from the petitioners with regard to threat to their lives and liberty, strict action shall be taken in accordance with law.

In view of the statement made by learned State counsel, no further directions are required and the petition is accordingly disposed off.

26.05.2023
hitesh

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No
Whether reportable : Yes/No