

CWP-9978-2023

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

CWP-9978-2023

Date of decision: 09.05.2023

Sunita Kumari

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and another

.....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Vikram Sheoran, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

1. The present petition has been filed for issuance of directions to the respondents to allow the petitioner to withdraw additional 05 marks on account of 'socio-economic criteria' against Advertisement No.12/2019, Category No.23, for the post of ITI, Instructor, and further to consider her claim for the post without adding the marks on account of the aforesaid criteria.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner contends that in pursuance of the aforesaid advertisement, the petitioner had applied for the post of Electrician Instructor, Theory (for short, 'ITI Instructor') under EWS (Economically Weaker Section) Category; that after having been issued the admit card, the petitioner had appeared in the written test; that on 21.12.2022, result of the written test was declared, and that the process of scrutiny of documents has already completed.

3. Learned counsel further contends that however at a later stage, the petitioner came to know that while filling up the application form online, the petitioner had inadvertently mentioned that no member in her-

CWP-9978-2023

laws' family was in govt. job and that the petitioner had mentioned 'No' against the Column of 'socio-economic criteria'. Learned counsel further contends that now, the petitioner wants to withdraw the aforesaid claim of 05 additional marks, which had been granted due to the mistake on her part.

4. Learned counsel further contends that the petitioner has already raised her grievance before the Chairman, Haryana Staff Selection Commission, by way of filing a representation dated 24.03.2023 (Annexure P-4), which is still pending consideration. It is further submitted that the petitioner will be satisfied in case a time bound direction is issued to respondent No.2 to decide the said representation.

5. Notice of motion.

6. On the asking of this Court, Mr. R.S.Budhwar, Addl. A.G. Haryana, accepts notice on behalf of the respondents-State, and submits that the final result for the aforesaid post has been declared. However, learned State counsel submits that he has no objection in case the representation of the petitioner is decided by passing a speaking order.

7. In view of the above, without expressing any opinion on the merits of the case, respondent No.2 is directed to decide the representation dated 24.03.2023 (Annexure P-4) by passing a speaking order within a period of four weeks from the date of receipt of copy of this order, in accordance with law.

8. Disposed of.

09.05.2023
parveen kumar

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?	Yes/No
Whether reportable?	Yes/No