

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

267

1. CRM-M-23404-2023
Date of decision: 01.08.2023

Boota Singh and othersPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and othersRespondents

2. CRM-M-23541-2023
Date of decision: 01.08.2023

Ravinder Singh and othersPetitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and anotherRespondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present : Mr. Gaganpreet S. Aujla, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-23404-2023 and
for respondent No.2 in CRM-M-23541-2023.

Mr. Amit Kumar, Advocate for
Mr. Mohit Kumar, Advocate
for the petitioners in CRM-M-23541-2023 and
for respondents No.2 to 4 in CRM-M-23404-2023.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. A.G., Punjab.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The instant petitions are for quashing of FIR No.0027 dated 08.04.2022 under Sections 324, 323, 148, 149, 506 and 120-B of the IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Raikot, District Ludhiana Rural and GD/DDR No.027 dated 09.04.2022 under Sections 323, 324, 148 and 149 of the IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Raikot, District Ludhiana Rural and all consequential proceedings arising out of the same, on the basis of compromise dated 23.03.2023 arrived at,

CRM-M-23404-2023
CRM-M-23541-2023

between the parties.

2. Vide orders dated 09.05.2023 and 10.05.2023 of this Court, the parties were directed to appear before the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on 31.05.2023 to get their statements recorded regarding the compromise arrived at, between them.

3. Reports have since been received from learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jagraon, in pursuance of the directions of this Court, wherein, the factum of the compromise arrived at between the parties stands verified and confirmed. As per the reports compromise has indeed been effected between the parties and the same is without any pressure or coercion and out of their free will and the complainants have also made statements to the effect that they would have no objection if the FIR and GD/DDR in question *qua* the accused-petitioners are quashed.

4. The Trial Court has annexed the statements of the parties in original, alongwith its report.

5. Learned State counsel submits that it is case of version and cross-version wherein both the parties have received injuries at the hands of each other.

6. In view of the reports of the learned Judicial Magistrate Ist Class, Jagraon and the principles laid down by the Apex Court in ***Gian Singh Vs. State of Punjab and others (2012) 10 SCC 303***, and also by the Full Bench of this Court in ***Kulwinder Singh and others Vs. State of Punjab and another, 2007(3) RCR (Criminal) 1052***, the instant petitions are allowed. The aforesaid FIR and GD/DDR in question and

all consequential proceedings arising out of them, are quashed.

7. Needless to say the parties shall remain bound by the terms of compromise and their statements recorded before the Court below.

01.08.2023

Vinay

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No