

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

**CWP-19312-2021 (O&M)
Date of decision: 21.02.2023**

Bank of Baroda

... Petitioner

Vs.

State of Punjab & others

... Respondents

**CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA.
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJIV BERRY.**

Present: Mr. C.S. Pasricha, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. H.S. Sullar, Sr. DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Puneet Gupta, Advocate for respondent No.6.

...

TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA, J. (ORAL).

CM-15079-CWP-2022:

Application is allowed as prayed for.

The exemption as sought for is granted.

Application is disposed of.

CM-15082-CWP-2022:

Application is allowed as prayed for.

The written statement filed on behalf of respondent No.6 along
with Annexures R-6/1 to R-6/13 is taken on record.

Application is disposed of.

Main case:

Counsel for the parties are *ad idem* that during pendency of the
instant petition, matter has since been settled and the loan account of
respondent No.6/borrower has been closed.

Mr. C.S. Pasricha, learned counsel representing the petitioner in the light of such development submits that he has instructions not to pursue the instant writ petition on behalf of the creditor/Bank of Baroda.

Writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

(TEJINDER SINGH DHINDSA)
JUDGE

(SANJIV BERRY)
JUDGE

21.02.2023

harjeet

(i) Whether speaking/reasoned?	Yes/No
(ii) Whether reportable?	Yes/No