

238

CRM-M-24700-2022
DECIDED ON: 15th MAY, 2023

SURINDER KAUR

.....PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

Present: Mr. Atul Goyal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Mohit Chaudhary, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ravi Chadha, Advocate
for respondents No.4 to 7.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

Reply dated 07.11.2022 filed by way of an affidavit of Mr. Jasbinder Singh, PPS, DSP, Dakha, District Ludhian (Rural) is taken on record. Copy thereof, has been supplied to the counsel for the petitioner.

In para 5 of the reply, it has been categorically stated that no more action is required to be taken by the police and in these circumstances the present petition does not survive qua the answering respondents wherein, civil litigation is already going on between the parties and the factum of disputed mutation will be adjudicated by the Civil Court after having examining the evidence so lead by the parties in the civil suit.

In view of above, nothing survive in the present petition, as such the same is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

However, since it is the second round of litigation on the said cause of action, it would be appropriate that needful shall be done by the

respondent-accused with regard to the reversal of enteries in favour of the petitioner-complainant within a period of one month from today, to which the respondent-accused will have no objection.

Ordered accordingly.

15th MAY, 2023
sham

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>