

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-23398-2023

Date of Decision:-12.07.2023

Ajay Kumar @ Ajay.

.....Petitioner.

Vs.

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. SPS Chakkal, Advocate for
Mr. Simranjeet Singh Sarwara, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J.(ORAL)

On 10.05.2023 the following order was passed:-

“ The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.PC is for quashing of the Order dated 02.05.2022 (Annexure P-5) passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Rupnagar dismissing the bail of the petitioner in case bearing FIR No.85 dated 27.07.2020 under Section 309, 402, 489 IPC and Sections 25, 54, 59 Arms Act registered at Police Station City Morinda, District Rupnagar and the order dated 06.10.2022 (Annexure P-8) declaring the petitioner as declared proclaimed offender.

The Counsel for the petitioner contends that on account of his involvement in another case, the petitioner could not appear in the aforementioned FIR leading to the passing of the impugned orders. He states that he is ready and willing to join the proceedings once again.

Notice of motion for 12.07.2023.

In the meanwhile, petitioner is directed to surrender

before the Trial Court/Duty Magistrate within a period of one week from today and subject to his depositing Rs.50,000/- as costs with Spinal Rehabilitation Centre, Chandigarh Plot # 1, Madhya Marg, Sector 28-A, Chandigarh , he shall be admitted to interim bail to the satisfaction of the Trial Court. ”

Thereafter on 02.06.2022 the following order was passed:-

“ The present application has been filed by the applicant-petitioner for extension of time for compliance of the order dated 10.05.2023.

For the reasons mentioned in the application, the same is allowed and one week’s time is granted to the applicant-petitioner to comply with the order dated 10.05.2023.”

The Counsel for the petitioner contends that in deference to the aforementioned orders the petitioner has appeared before the Court on 5.6.2023 and has since been admitted to interim bail.

In view of the above, no further order are required to be passed by this Court.

However, it is expected that the petitioner shall keep on appearing before the Trial Court and shall not absent himself from the proceedings without any sufficient cause.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

July 12, 2023
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>