

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(246)

CWP-12612-2019

Date of decision: - 20.02.2023

Gurnam Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation Ludhiana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Vashit Garg, Advocate
for Mr. Aayush Gupta, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rohit Ahuja, DAG, Punjab.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.1 and 2 to enquire into the matter and to take action upon the representation dated 25.04.2019 (Annexure P-3) filed by the petitioner.

Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner for the redressal of his grievance has given a representation dated 25.04.2019 (Annexure P-3) to respondent No.1 and would be satisfied in case respondent No.1 is directed to look into the said representation (P-3) and to take a final decision on the same, in accordance with law.

Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the

present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.1 to decide the representation dated 25.04.2019 (Annexure P-3) and take a final decision on the same in accordance with law, within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent No.1 would consider the said representation independently in accordance with law.

February 20, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No