

CRM-M-44587-2017

-1- 2023:PHHC:079776

248 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-44587-2017

Date of Decision:31.05.2023

WING COMMANDER HARBHAJAN SINGH RANDHAWA RETD
& ANR

..... Petitioners

Versus

MANDEEP RANDHAWA

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : None for the petitioners.

Mr. B.D. Sharma, Advocate with
Mr. Vikas Kumar, Advocate
for the respondent.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. On 19.04.2022, there was no representation on behalf of the petitioners, however, matter was adjourned to 02.09.2022.
2. On 02.09.2022 again there was no representation on behalf of the petitioners, however, matter was adjourned in the interest of justice.
3. Even today, there is no change in the situation. It appears that the petitioners have lost their interest to pursue the matter.
4. The petitioners through instant petition are seeking quashing of Criminal Complaint bearing No.COMA/623/2015 dated 11.09.2015 (Annexure P-1), titled as “Mandeep Randhawa Vs. Sukhjit Singh Randhawa & others” which has been filed by respondent under Section 12 of Protection of Women from Domestic Violence Act, 2005 and is pending before Judicial Magistrate 1st Class, Jalandhar.
5. Learned counsel for the respondent submits that matter is at fag end, thus, present petition deserves to be dismissed.

6. This Court in *Jaspal Kaur alias Pinki and others vs. State of Punjab and another*, CRM-M- 19553 of 2023 decided on 24.04.2023, relying upon judgments of Hon'ble Supreme Court in *Kamatchi vs. Lakshmi Narayan 2022 SCC online SC 446* and *Kunappareddy vs. Kunappareddy Swarna Kumari (2016) 11 SCC 774* and Full Bench of Madras High Court in *Arul Daniel and others vs. Suganya 2022 SCC online Mad 5435* has held:

- i) Proceedings under Section 12 of DV Act are civil in nature.
- ii) An aggrieved person has right to move an application before Magistrate seeking recalling of notice issued under Section 13 of DV Act or deletion of his/her name from the array of respondents;
- iii) Petition under Section 482 Cr.P.C. is not maintainable against petition under Section 12 or notice under Section 13 of DV Act;
- iv) An order passed by Magistrate is an appealable order under Section 29 of DV Act;
- v) No revision under Cr.P.C. is maintainable against order passed by Sessions Court as Appellate Court.

7. In view of order dated 24.4.2023 passed by this Court in CRM-M-19553 of 2023, present petition is not maintainable and accordingly disposed of with liberty to the petitioner to avail remedy as permissible by law.

8. Disposed of with liberty as aforesaid.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)
JUDGE

31.05.2023
Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No