

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.23031 of 2023
Date of decision: 31st July, 2023

Karamjit Kaur

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. G.S. Nahel, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Subhash Godara, Addl. Advocate General, Punjab
for the respondent/State.

Mr. Paramveer Singh, Advocate for the complainant.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.44 dated 20.04.2023 for the offences under Section 420 and 120-B IPC registered at Police Station Sadar Ahmedgarh District Malerkotla.

2. On the last date of hearing, i.e. 08.05.2023, while noticing the following submissions made by learned counsel for the petitioner, this Court had granted the concession of interim bail to the petitioner and asked her to join investigation.

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that the petitioner has been falsely implicated in the case in hand. Learned counsel submits that the daughter of the petitioner got married to the complainant in the year 2018 and thereafter, she went abroad. Since the

complainant was denied visa by the Australian Government, the complainant had come up with totally false allegations. Learned counsel further submits that it was also a matter of record that no amount of money was deposited in the bank account of the petitioner. It has also been contended that the petitioner is ready to join investigation and will cooperate with the investigating agency.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 08.05.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Jaspal Singh, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for her custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 08.05.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to her, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to her.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 31, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No