

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

233

**CWP-12208-2019 (O&M)
Date of decision: 11.01.2023**

Sunita

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARNARESH SINGH GILL

Present:- Mr. Vineet Dhanda, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Tanushree Gupta, DAG Haryana.

HARNARESH SINGH GILL, J. (ORAL)

Prayer in the petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to grant one chance to the petitioner and to hold an interview for the post of PGT Hindi in pursuance of the Advertisement No. 04/2015, Category No.7, dated 28.06.2015 (Anneuxre P-1).

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is B.A., M.A. Hindi, B.Ed. and HTET pass and also having experience of 155 months; that the petitioner had applied for the post of PGT Hindi against Advertisement No. 04/2015, Category No.7, dated 28.06.2015 (Annexure P-1) and that after converting Special Backward Classes posts into General Category, the petitioner was called for an interview vide notice dated 30.03.2019 but she could not participate in the interview because of some unavoidable circumstances. It is further submitted that in pursuance of the order dated 08.05.2019 passed by a Coordinate Bench, the petitioner was interviewed provisionally and her result was kept in a sealed cover; that the

result of the petitioner was produced in the Court in a sealed Cover in the Court and it was found that the petitioner secured 112 marks out of 160 marks in the examination; 16 marks out of 16 marks for experience and 10 marks out of 24 marks in the viva-voce, meaning thereby she secured total 138 marks out 200 marks and that at that time, due to unavailability of the posts of PGT Hindi, the petitioner could not appointed.

Vide order dated 04.12.2020 passed by a Coordinate Bench, learned State counsel was asked to ascertain as to whether any of the notified vacancies in the post of PGT Hindi were still available as on date.

Today, learned State counsel submits that as on today, no vacancy of PGT Hindi is available.

I have heard the learned counsel for the parties and have also gone through the documents on record.

Perusal of the documents would itself reveal that the petitioner is B.A., M.A. Hindi, B.Ed. and HTET pass and also having experience of 155 months. But the fact remains that initially, the petitioner could not participate in the interview because of some unavoidable circumstances and she was interviewed provisionally on 30.08.2019, in compliance of the order dated 08.05.2019 passed by a Coordinate Bench and as on today, no vacancy of PGT Hindi is available.

As no vacancy is available, the relief sought for cannot be granted and there is no justification to keep the present petition pending.

In view of the above, the present petition is dismissed.

(HARNARESH SINGH GILL)
JUDGE

11.01.2023
Mangal Singh

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No