

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

279

**CRM-M-23087-2023
Date of decision : 19.07.2023**

Tajinder Singh and another

..... Petitioners

versus

State of Punjab and another

..... Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PANKAJ JAIN

Present: Mr. Kushagra Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Mr. Kunal Vinayak, AAG, Punjab.

Mr. Devansh Khanna, Advocate
for respondent No.2.

PANKAJ JAIN, J. (Oral)

By way of present petition filed under Section 482 Cr.P.C., the petitioners are seeking quashing of FIR No.230 dated 25.07.2022, registered for offences punishable under Sections 420 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Division B, District Amritsar on the basis of compromise dated 24.04.2023 (Annexure P-2).

2. On 08.05.2023, the following order was passed:-

“The present petition has been filed for quashing of FIR No.230, dated 25.07.2022, registered at Police Station Division B, District Amritsar, under Sections 420, 120-B IPC (Annexure P-1) on the basis of compromise (Annexure P-2).

Notice of motion.

Mr. Kamalpreet Bawa, AAG, Punjab, accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.1 and waives service.

2023:PHHC:092825

Ms. Samsha Mahajan, Advocate, puts in appearance and accepts notice on behalf of respondent No.2.

Learned counsel for respondent No.2 admits the factum of compromise.

The parties are directed to appear before the trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate on 29.05.2023 for getting their statements recorded. After recording the statements of the parties, the learned trial Court/Illaqa Magistrate shall send a report to this Court regarding genuineness of the compromise between them well before the next date of hearing.

Adjourned to 19.07.2023.”

3. Pursuant to the aforesaid order, report dated 12.06.2023 from JMIC, Amritsar has been received, which is taken on record. As per the report, the trial Court has recorded as follows:-

“xx xx xx

IO/ASI Sulakhan Singh No. 1515/ASR has reported that there are only two accused namely Tajinder Singh and Gurmeet Kaur arrayed in the FIR No. 230 dated 25.07.2022, under Sections 420/120-B of IPC, P.S. B-Division, Amritsar and they have never been declared proclaimed offender at any stage of trial as per record. Furthermore, accused persons are not involved in any other case. There are only one complainant Jasbir Singh in the present FIR

(I) From the aforesaid statements of the effected parties, it apparently appears that the parties have voluntarily entered into the compromise between themselves and have settled the matter amicably. Compromise is genuine without any kind of undue influence or pressure and out of free will of the parties.

Hence, I am hereby sending my aforesaid report as per the directions of Hon'ble Punjab & Haryana

2023:PHHC:092825

High Court, Chandigarh. Photostat copies of the statements of the aforesaid persons are also annexed for kind perusal.”

4. Mr. Devansh Khanna, Advocate appears for respondent No.2 and admits the fact of parties having compromised and states that he has no objection in case the FIR and all proceedings subsequent thereto against the petitioners are quashed.

5. Learned State counsel has stated no objection in case the FIR is quashed based upon the compromise.

6. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and have carefully gone through the records of the case.

7. After considering judgment rendered by the Apex Court in **Gian Singh vs. State of Punjab and another, 2012(10) SCC 303, State of Madhya Pradesh vs. Laxmi Narayan and others (2019) 5 SCC 688, Kulwinder Singh & others Vs. State of Punjab & another, 2007 (3) RCR (Criminal) 1052 and Ram Gopal and another vs. State of Madhya Pradesh, 2021(4) R.C.R. (Criminal) 322 (Criminal Appeal No.1489 of 2012 decided on 29th of September, 2021)**, the proposition of law that emerges from the aforesaid decisions rendered by Apex Court and this Court is :

- (a) Power u/s 482 Cr.P.C. vested with this Court is not affected by Section 320 of the Code.
- (b) However, wider the power greater the caution.
- (c) The underlining principle while exercising such power is that it can be invoked to quash the proceedings recognizing compromise between the parties in the matters which are overwhelmingly and predominantly of civil character like commercial transactions or

2023:PHHC:092825

arising out of matrimonial relationship or family disputes.

- (d) The said power is not to be exercised in the prosecutions involving heinous and serious offences of mental depravity or offences like murder, rape, dacoity etc. as such offences are not private in nature and have a serious impact on society.
- (e) Section 482 Cr.P.C. casts duty upon the High Court to advance interest of justice as well. It is in recognition of this duty casted upon the High Court, that Apex Court held that the High Court would not refuse to quash FIR under Section 307 merely because FIR finds mention thereof. High Court can assess nature of injuries sustained, whether such injuries inflicted on vital/delicate parts of the body/nature of weapons used etc.
- (f) Such exercise at the hands of High Court would be permissible only after the evidence is collected after investigation and chargesheet is filed/charges framed during the trial. Such exercise cannot be carried out while the matter is still under investigation.
- (g) While quashing FIR in non-compoundable offences even which are of private in nature, High Court is required to consider antecedents of the accused, conduct of the accused and whether he was absconding or whether he has managed the complainant to enter into a compromise.

8. Thus, keeping in view the aforesaid facts and circumstances, this Court is of the considered opinion that it is a fit case to exercise jurisdiction vested u/s 482 Cr.P.C. to quash the FIR as :-

- (i) The present matter does not fall within the exceptions as carved out in **Laxmi Narayan's** case (supra).

- (ii) The offences are of private nature.
- (iii) The parties have compromised.
- (iv) As per the report received the compromise is said to be voluntary in its nature.
- (v) Complainant/victim has entered into compromise on his own volition.

9. Consequently, the petition is allowed. FIR No.230 dated 25.07.2022, registered for offences punishable under Sections 420 and 120-B of IPC, at Police Station Division B, District Amritsar and all proceedings arising therefrom, are, hereby, quashed qua the petitioners.

(PANKAJ JAIN)
JUDGE

19.07.2023
Dinesh

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes
Whether Reportable :	No