

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

118-1.

CRM-M-22213-2020

Date of Decision:20.12.2023

SURJIT SINGH

...PETITIONER

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SUVIR SEHGAL

Present: Mr. Lupil Gupta, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Swati Batra, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Sandeep Godara, Advocate for respondents No.6 and 7.

Mr. A.P.S. Rehan, Advocate for respondent No.10.

Mr. P.K.S. Phoolka, Advocate for respondent No.11.

SUVIR SEHGAL, J.(ORAL)

1. By way of present petition filed under Section 482, Cr.P.C., petitioner has, *inter alia*, sought a direction to official respondents No.2 to 4 to conduct a fair and impartial enquiry into the complaint dated 14.07.2020, Annexure P-4, and to enquire into the death of Jeeto Kaur and adoption of Pushpinderpal Kaur.

2. Upon issuing of notice, State has filed a status report by way of affidavit of Assistant Superintendent of Police, Sub Division Maur, District Bathinda, wherein it has been *inter alia* submitted as under:-

“3. That during enquiry sufficient evidence has been found that the respondent No.6 was residing with Jeet Kaur as her daughter. No evidence regarding

alleged kidnap (sic kidnapping) or murder of Jeeto Kaur has come into light. The respondent No.6 and 7 produced the treatment record of Ravindera Hospital, Bathinda where Surjit Kaur alias Jeet Kaur alias Jeeto Kaur was admitted on 12.3.2020 by respondents No.6 and 7 but she could not recover health (sic) and breathed her last. There is also evidence that the petitioner himself remained present at the time of funeral ceremony of Jeeto Kaur even (and) he brought clothes for Jeeto Kaur as per rituals. The petitioner also remained present at the time of picking of last remains of Jeeto Kaur and on her Bhog ceremony. ”

3. In view of the above development, there is no merit in the petition, which is hereby dismissed.

20.12.2023
sheetal

(SUVIR SEHGAL)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/Reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No