

2023:PHHC:064229

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

247 CRM-M-24706-2022
Date of Decision.:04.05.2023

Shivani Seth	Vs.	Petitioner
Saiansh Seth		Respondent

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present: Mr. Raj Karan Singh, Advocate for
Mr. Abhinav Gupta, Advocate for
the petitioner.

Dr. RAU P.S. Girawar, Advocate
for the respondent.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J. (ORAL)

It is conceded by counsel for both the parties that all the disputes between the parties have since been settled. Even petition under Section 13-B of the Hindu Marriage Act has already been decided vide order dated 11.04.2023 of Family Court, Bathinda, and therefore this petition has become infructuous.

However, learned counsel for the petitioner submits that an FIR under Section 182 of the Indian Penal Code was registered against the petitioner and that on account of compromise between the parties, petitioner will file a separate petition to quash that Kalendra.

Learned counsel for the petitioner further submits that he has no objection so as to withdraw this petition, if there is statement on behalf of respondent that he will not raise any objection for quashing the Kalendra.

Learned counsel for the respondent submits that Kalendra under Section 182 IPC being a State matter, he will have no objection to quash the said Kalendra.

In view of the aforesaid statements made by learned counsel for both the parties, this petition is dismissed as withdrawn, having become infructuous, on account of compromise between the parties.

May 04, 2023
Neetika Tuteja

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether Speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No