

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-10459-2023

Date of decision : 24.05.2023

Gurbinder Singh and others

... Petitioners

Versus

State of Punjab and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHLPresent: Mr.A.D.S.Jattana, Advocate
for the petitioners.Mr.Ferry Sofat, Addl.A.G. Punjab
for respondents no.1 to 4.**VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)**

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ of mandamus directing respondent no.3 to decide the appeal dated 15.06.2022 (Annexure P-11) in a time bound manner.

2. Learned State counsel has submitted that the appeal has been decided by respondent no.3 vide order dated 07.10.2022 but a copy of the same had been supplied to the petitioners on 22.05.2023.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that although, the present petition has been rendered infructuous and limitation if counted from the date of order dated 07.10.2022, has already lapsed, thus, prays that in case the petitioners file a revision petition before the Superintending Canal Officer within a period of 30 days from today, then the Superintending Canal Officer be directed not to dismiss the same solely on

the ground of limitation.

4. Learned State counsel has submitted that he has no objection to the said course of action.
5. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.
6. Liberty is granted to the petitioners to file a revision petition before the Superintending Canal Officer against the order dated 07.10.2022. In case such revision petition is filed within a period of one month from today, then the Superintending Canal Officer would not dismiss the same solely on the ground of limitation.

(VIKAS BAHL)

JUDGE

May 24, 2023

Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No