

**239 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRWP-4392-2023
DECIDED ON: 16th MAY, 2023

SIMRAN AND ANOTHER

.....PETITIONERS

VERSUS

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

.....RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL.

Present: Mr. Vishal Jassal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Bijender Dhankar, Addl. AG, Haryana.

Mr. Sandeep Kumar, Advocate for respondent No.4.

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J (ORAL)

1. The instant petition has been preferred under Article 226/227 of Constitution of India is for seeking protection of life and liberty of the petitioners at the hands of respondents No.4 to 7 and other relatives of the petitioner No.1.

2. Vide order dated 08.05.2023, parties were directed to appear before this Court, considering the fact that the petitioner No.1 namely Simran is 21 years, whereas petitioner No.2 namely Dev is aged 18 years and less than 19 years of age, which is not even a marriageable age, as stipulated in the Hindu Marriage Act, 1955.

3. During the hearing of this case, mediation was conducted by this Court in an open Court and interaction was made with the boy and girl in the presence of parents of petitioner No.1.

4. After having the deliberation, petitioner No.1 is ready and

willing to go alongwith her parents at this stage from the Court itself, to which the counsel for the petitioners, after having discussion with petitioner No.2, submits that he has also no objection.

5. Learned counsel for respondent No.4, father of the petitioner No.1, submits on instructions that they will not oppose the marriage provided that the boy achieves the age of 21 years to make the relationship that of a legally wedded couple.

6. In the light of above, as petitioner No.1 has shown her willingness without any coercion, fear or undue influence to accompany with her parents from the Court itself, to which petitioner No.2 has also no objection as has been stated hereinabove, the present petition is disposed of in the afore-said terms.

7. However, it is made clear that no one can harm to petitioner No.1 till the marriage is solemnized with her free will and wishes and also no legal action would be initiated against the petitioner No.2-Dev at the instance of private respondents.

16th MAY, 2023
sham

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>