

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-10363-2023

Date of decision: 12.05.2023

DHIRAJ GUPTA

...Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF HARYANA AND OTHERS

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SURESHWAR THAKUR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE KULDEEP TIWARI

Present: Mr. Gaurav Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Pradeep Parkash Chahar, Sr. DAG, Haryana

SURESHWAR THAKUR, J. (ORAL)

1. The Gram Panchayat concerned, thus has not yet passed any resolution whereby, as alleged in the instant writ petition, there is a proposal to carve plots measuring 100 sq. yards each, for theirs being allotted to purportedly eligible allottees concerned, yet a mandamus is asked to be made, upon the respondents concerned, to restrain the Panchayat concerned, to draw such a resolution.
2. The above asked for mandamus is not maintainable before this Court, rather the instant petition claiming the above mandamus, is at this stage, a thoroughly a mis-constituted recourse, besides is completely pre mature, as unless the resolution of the Panchayat concerned, is made, and, becomes also assigned approval by the Competent Authority, thereupon alone the present petitioner may become vested with the leverage, to access the writ jurisdiction of this Court. Therefore, at this stage the instant remedy is both mis-constituted besides is completely pre mature. Resultantly, the writ petition is disposed of as such, but leaving liberty to the petitioner to re-access this Court, but at an appropriate stage.

(SURESHWAR THAKUR)
JUDGE

12.05.2023

Ithlesh

(KULDEEP TIWARI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:-
Whether reportable:

Yes/No
Yes/No