

123

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-22982-2023

Decided on:-08.05.2023

Baljinder Singh

....Petitioner

vs.

State of Haryana and another

....Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE HARKESH MANUJA

Present: Mr. Kushager Goyal, Advocate,
for the petitioner.

Mr. Ramesh Kumar Ambavta, AAG, Haryana.

HARKESH MANUJA J.

1. Prayer in the present petition filed under Section 482 is for quashing of FIR No.985, dated 03.11.2022, under Section 174-A IPC, registered at Police Station Civil Line Sirsa, District Sirsa.

2. The facts leading to the present case are that on account of dishonour of cheque bearing No.000041 dated 10.08.2021, amounting to Rs.2,08,000/-, the petitioner was arrayed as an accused in a complaint bearing NACT No.1278-2021, titled as ***“Hari Om Motors Firm vs. Baljinder Singh”***, filed under Section 138 of the Negotiable Instruments Act, 1881 (for short' “1881 Act”) wherein, he was summoned vide order dated 06.01.2022 passed by learned JMIC, Sirsa, but unfortunately, the petitioner failed to appear before the trial court, resulting into his declaration

as proclaimed person vide order dated 17.10.2022. Accordingly, FIR in question, was registered against the petitioner under Section 174-A IPC on 03.11.2022. Later, a settlement came to be arrived at between the parties as the petitioner discharged his liability by paying the entire cheque amount along with compensation in favour of respondent No.2-complainant and in pursuance thereof, the complaint was dismissed as withdrawn, vide order dated 24.04.2023 passed by learned JMIC, Sirsa.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that once the main proceedings under Section 138 of the Act, 1881 have already come to an end, no useful purpose is going to be served by continuing with the proceedings arising out of the FIR in question. In support, learned counsel for the petitioner relies upon judgments of this Court, passed in CRM-M-16449-2018, titled as “Satish Kumar vs. State of Haryana and another” and CRM-M-30911-2021, titled as “Ram Kumar Rana vs. State of Haryana and another”.

4. Mr. Rahul Verma, Advocate representing respondent No.2-complainant admits the factum of settlement arrived at between the parties against discharge of liability by the petitioner, having paid the entire cheque amount along with compensation and thus, does not oppose the prayer made in the present petition.

5. I have heard learned counsel for the parties and gone through the paper book.

6. Once, the complaint under Section 138 of the Act, 1881 already stands withdrawn by the complainant on having received the amount in dispute along with compensation, no useful purpose is going to be served by

carrying on with the proceedings arising out of the present FIR. Even otherwise, the case of the petitioner is fully covered with the judgments passed by this Court in Satish Kumar's case (supra) and Ram Kumar Rana's case (supra).

7. Accordingly, the petition is allowed. Order dated 17.10.2022 passed in complaint bearing No.NACT-1278-2021 along with FIR No.985 dated 03.11.2022, under Section 174-A IPC, Police Station Civil Line Sirsa, District Sirsa and all other subsequent proceedings arising therefrom are ordered to be quashed.

8. The aforesaid order is subject to payment of costs of Rs.2,000/- to be deposited with the Punjab and Haryana High Court Bar Association, within a period of two weeks from today.

08.05.2023

sonika

(HARKESH MANUJA)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned:

Yes/No

Whether reportable:

Yes/ No