

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

206

CWP No.12054 of 2019

Date of decision: 06.01.2023

RAVI

...Petitioner

Versus

**HARYANA STAFF SELECTION COMMISSION THROUGH ITS
SECRETARY**

...Respondent

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE RAJ MOHAN SINGH

Present: None for the petitioner.

Mr. Tapan Kumar Yadav, DAG, Haryana.

RAJ MOHAN SINGH , J.(ORAL)

Petitioner has preferred the present writ petition for issuance of a writ especially in the nature of certiorari quashing the final result dated 03.03.2019 to the extent of non-selection of the petitioner on the post of Constable (Male)(IRB).

The petitioner has pleaded that he has secured marks more than the last selected candidate in his category. Further writ in the nature of mandamus is also sought for directing the respondent to grant 5 additional marks for socio economic criteria as no one from the family of the petitioner is in government job.

Learned State counsel has produced on record letter dated 25.07.2021 in the context of recommendation made by the Competent Authority for the selection of the petitioner on the

basis of re-scrutiny/re-examination of the documents of the petitioner and on the basis of written marks secured by the petitioner.

According to the aforesaid letter, in compliance of the order passed by the High Court in CWP No.5221 of 2019 titled *Vikas Harsana vs. State of Haryana and others*, the Commission has re-examined the documents of the petitioner along with others in respect of eligibility, marks for socio economic criteria and qualification for the post of Male Constable in pursuance of advertisement No.3/2018 Category No.3. On re-examination of the petitioner's document and on the basis of marks secured by him, the candidature of the petitioner has been recommended as he falls under selection zone and therefore he has been recommended for appointment to the aforesaid post. The appointment shall be subject to medical examination and other verifications.

The letter dated 25.07.2021 is taken on record.

In view of the aforesaid factual position of the case, this writ petition is disposed of. Normal consequences to follow.

06.01.2023
P.Bhatt

(RAJ MOHAN SINGH)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No