

204

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-26704-2021

Date of Decision:04.05.2023

GAURAV RAGHUVANSHI

..... Petitioner

*Versus***STATE OF HARYANA**

..... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Sachin Sangwan, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Dimple Jain, DAG, Haryana.

Mr. D.P.S. Bajwa, Advocate
for the complainant.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Through instant petition under Section 438 of Code of Criminal Code, 1973, the petitioner is seeking anticipatory bail in FIR No.52 dated 16.06.2021 (Annexure P-1), registered at Police Station Women Police Station Manesar, District Gurugram, under Sections 323, 377, 406, 498A, 506 and 34 of IPC, 1860.

2. Learned State counsel vide order dated 23.02.2023 was directed to file status report with respect to injury suffered by complainant in her left ear. Learned State counsel was further directed to look at CCTV footage supplied by the complainant.

3. Status report by way of an affidavit dated 03.05.2023 of Surinder Kaur, Assistant Commissioner of Police, crime against women, Gurugram filed on behalf of respondent-State is taken on record.

4.

Learned State counsel pointing out State reply submits that complainant on the earlier occasion was suffering problem in her right ear whereas in the fight of 13.02.2021, the petitioner has inflicted injury on left ear of the complainant. She further submits that as per CCTV footage, the complainant had pulled the complainant on 13.02.2021.
5.

I have heard the arguments and perused the record.
6.

From the perusal of documents and arguments of both sides, it comes out that petitioner has solemnized second marriage with complainant though at the time of second marriage he had not obtained divorce from his first wife. The documents are further disclosing that petitioner has inflicted injury on the ear of the complainant. No husband has right to inflict injury upon person of his wife. Every male member of the society is expected to respect female members of the society. Act of the petitioner is not appreciable.
7.

In view of these facts and circumstances, no case is made out to exercise power to grant anticipatory bail.
8.

Dismissed.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

04.05.2023

Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No