

260+18

2023:PHHC:151651

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CWP-9655-2023 (O&M)

Date of Decision:29.11.2023

BRIJ MOHAN

..... Petitioner

Versus

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

..... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JAGMOHAN BANSAL

Present : Mr. Nishant Jindal, Advocate for
Mr. Simranjit Singh, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Aman Dhir, DAG, Punjab.

JAGMOHAN BANSAL, J. (Oral)

1. Learned State counsel, at the outset, submits that petitioner belongs to Ex-serviceman (BC) and cut off under the said category was 168 marks whereas petitioner had secured 166 marks, thus, claim of the petitioner is not sustainable.
2. Learned counsel for the petitioner expressed his inability to controvert the aforesaid fact, however, he prays that he may be granted liberty to move an appropriate application, if this fact is found incorrect.
3. Disposed of with aforesaid liberty.
4. Pending misc. application(s), if any, shall also stand disposed of.

(JAGMOHAN BANSAL)

JUDGE

29.11.2023

Ali

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether Reportable	Yes/No