

**238 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-4332-2017

Date of Decision: February 16, 2023

Smt. Raj Kumari Behal and others ...Petitioners

Versus

State of UT, Chandigarh and others ...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK GUPTA

Present:- Mr. Sanjeev Sharma, Advocate for the petitioners.

Mr. J.S. Toor, APP for U.T., Chandigarh.

DEEPAK GUPTA, J.(Oral)

It is submitted by learned counsel for the respondent that one of the petitioners, namely, Smt. Raj Kumari Behal had already expired and proceedings against her stand abated, whereas other petitioner, namely Ms. Mamta Behal has been discharged by the Trial Court, as per order dated 11.11.2022, a copy of which has been placed on record.

Learned counsel for the petitioner concedes that the matter has become infructuous.

As such, present petition is dismissed having become infructuous.

February 16, 2023
sarita

(DEEPAK GUPTA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No