

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH.**

CRM-M-23397-2023

Date of Decision:-12.09.2023

Malkit Singh @ Laddu.

.....Petitioner.

Versus

State of Punjab.

.....Respondent.

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE JASJIT SINGH BEDI

Present:- Mr. Vikas Gupta, Advocate for the Petitioner.

Mr. Harkanwar Jeet Singh, AAG Punjab.

JASJIT SINGH BEDI, J. (ORAL)

On 10.05.2023 the following order was passed:-

“ The prayer in the present petition under Section 482 Cr.PC is for quashing of the order dated 12.04.2023 (Annexure P-4) passed by the Additional Sessions Judge, Tarn Taran vide which the bail has been cancelled and the bail bonds and surety bonds of the petitioner have been cancelled and forfeited to the State in case No.SC 52 of 2023 titled as ‘State vs. Malkit Singh etc.’ arising out of FIR No.75 dated 27.05.2021 under Sections 302, 307, 34 & 202, 212, 216, 148, 149, 120-B IPC (added later on) and Sections 25/27 Arms Act, 1959 registered at Police Station City Patti, District Tarn Taran.

The learned counsel for the petitioner contends that on account of illness, the petitioner was unable to appear on one date i.e. 12.04.2023 on which date the impugned order came to be passed. He states that the petitioner is ready and willing to join the proceedings once again.

Notice of motion for 03.07.2023.

In the meanwhile, the petitioner is directed to surrender before the Trial Court within a period of one week from today and subject to a deposit of Rs.25,000/- as costs with the Institute for the Blind, Sector 26, Chandigarh, he shall be admitted to interim bail to the satisfaction of the trial Court.

To be heard alongwith CRM-M-21491-2023. ”

The Counsel for the petitioner contends that in compliance of the aforementioned order the petitioner has surrendered and has since been granted the concession of interim bail. He has also deposited the costs as imposed by this Court vide order dated 10.05.2023.

In view of the above, no further orders are required to be passed by this Court.

Disposed of.

However, it is made clear that the petitioner shall continue to appear before the Trial Court and shall not absent himself without any sufficient cause.

(JASJIT SINGH BEDI)
JUDGE

September 12, 2023
Vinay

<i>Whether speaking/reasoned</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>
<i>Whether reportable</i>	<i>Yes/No</i>