

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

COC-1503-2020 (O&M)
Date of decision: 19.04.2023

Poonam Manchanda

... Petitioner

Vs.

Dr. C. Chandramouli and ors.

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN

Present: Mr. Barjesh Mittal, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Satya Pal Jain, Addl. Solicitor General of India with
Mr. Sahil Garg, Advocate
for respondents No.1 & 2.

Mr. Amit Jhanji, Sr. Advocate with
Mr. Abhishek K. Premi, Advocate
for respondent No.3.

Mr. P.M. Kansal, Advocate
for the applicant (in CM-2418-CII-2021).

ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN, J. (ORAL)

CM-6870-CII-2023

For the reasons stated in the application, same is allowed and
additional affidavit of respondent No.3 Director, PGIMER, Chandigarh is
taken on record.

CM stands disposed of.

CM-2418-CII-2021

Learned counsel for the applicant submits that he does not intend to press the instant application.

Dismissed as not pressed.

COCP-1503-2020

On 07.03.2019, while allowing the writ petition filed by the petitioner, the respondents were directed to consider case of the petitioner for promotion being person with disability under 3% reservation provided for persons with disability.

As per affidavit of Director, PGIMER, Chandigarh-respondent No.3, the petitioner has been granted due promotion w.e.f. 25.02.2021.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, however, submits that the petitioner is entitled w.e.f. the back date.

Be whatsoever, there is no such direction in the order passed by the writ Court and only direction is to consider claim of the petitioner.

In view of the above, present petition is rendered as infructuous.

However, it will be open for the petitioner to avail the alternative remedy, in accordance with law, if so required.

[ARVIND SINGH SANGWAN]
JUDGE

19.04.2023

vishnu

Whether speaking/reasoned : Yes/No

Whether reportable : Yes/No