

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

CWP-9874-2023

Date of decision : 08.05.2023

Niranjan

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

... Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR.JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present: Mr.Anas Ahmed, Advocate for
Mr.Satyawan Singh Nain, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms.Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana
for the respondents.

VIKAS BAHL, J.(ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of mandamus for directing respondent no.4 for entering the mutation in the name of the petitioner in terms of the judgment and decree dated 27.07.2012 (Annexure P-1) and judgment and decree dated 06.11.2017 (Annexure P-2).

2. Learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that the petitioner has moved an application to the Assistant Collector IInd Grade, Rohtak for redressal of his grievance and he would be satisfied in case the Assistant Collector IInd Grade, Rohtak is directed to consider the said application dated 05.03.2020 and to decide the same, in accordance with law.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the Assistant Collector IInd Grade, Rohtak, would consider and decide the application

dated 05.03.2020, in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible.

4. Keeping in view the above said facts and circumstances, the present petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent no.4 to consider and decide the application dated 05.03.2020, in accordance with law as expeditiously as possible after hearing all the parties concerned.

5. It is made clear that this Court has not opined on the merits of the case and respondent no.4 would consider and decide the application, independently, in accordance with law.

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

May 08, 2023
Davinder Kumar

Whether speaking / reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No