

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

257

CWP-11815-2022

Date of decision: 10.04.2023

PARGAT SINGH

.....Petitioner

VERSUS

STATE OF PUNJAB AND OTHERS

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VINOD S. BHARDWAJ

Present:- Mr. Surinder Kumar Daaria, Advocate for
Mr. Krishan Daaria, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Niharika Sharma, AAG, Punjab.

VINOD S. BHARDWAJ, J. (Oral)

The present petition had been filed for seeking issuance of directions to the respondents to restore the security cover to the petitioner in view of the threat extended to him for the works undertaken by him while working as a Police Officer during the period of Terrorism in the State of Punjab.

While issuing notice of motion on 27.05.2022, it was specifically noticed that the petitioner had rendered valuable services resulting in arrest/encounters of/with dreaded terrorists. Consequently after his retirement as Deputy Superintendent of Police, two personal security officers were deputed for his security in view of the continuous threat to his life. Since the said security cover was sought to be withdrawn, he approached this Court.

Short reply by way of affidavit of Satinder Pal Singh,

CWP-11815-2022

-2-

Assistant Inspector General of Police, Security, Punjab has been filed today in the Court. A copy thereof has been handed over to the counsel for the petitioner.

It has been averred in the aforesaid written statement that the petitioner is not facing threats from terrorist/anti national elements. Two security personnel are deployed with him for his security.

Keeping in view the above mentioned facts, the personal security cover has been recommended to be continued with the petitioner. It has further been averred that in light of the recommendation made by the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala, the two Personnel Security Officers already deployed with the petitioner have been ordered to continue with him on temporary basis subject to periodic reviews as per the guidelines laid down in the State Security Policy-2013.

In view of the aforesaid specific stand being adopted by the respondent-State in continuing with the security cover, the counsel for the petitioner does not press the instant petition at this stage.

Disposed of as not pressed.

(VINOD S. BHARDWAJ)
JUDGE

APRIL 10, 2023

Vishal sharma

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No