

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

106

CRWP-4394-2023
Decided on : 08.05.2023

Aarti and another

. . . Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and others

. . . Respondent(s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANJAY VASHISTH

PRESENT: Mr. Lalit Kumar Yadav, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Vikas Bhardwaj, AAG, Haryana.

SANJAY VASHISTH, J. (Oral)

1. Counsel for the petitioners submits that petitioner No.2 Rajesh, aged 39 years was earlier married but said marriage was dissolved by way of decree of divorce dated 11.04.2016.
2. Learned State counsel points out that respondent No.4-Sukhai, who is stated to be uncle of petitioner No.1-Aarti is resident of Uttar Pardesh and no details of parents of petitioner No.1 are mentioned in the petition. Rather there is no proof that petitioner No.1 is resident of address mentioned of District Ambala.
3. Faced with the situation, counsel for the petitioners seeks withdrawal of the present petition with liberty to file the petition again with better and complete particulars.
3. Dismissed as withdrawn, with liberty aforementioned.

(SANJAY VASHISTH)
JUDGE

May 08, 2023
k.nain

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether Reportable: Yes/No