

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CRM-M-43700 of 2018

Date of decision: 13th July, 2023

Jagtar Singh

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Devansh Khanna, Advocate for the petitioner.
Mr. H. S. Sita, DAG, Punjab.
Mr. Raghav Soni, Advocate for Mr. Vikas Gupta,
Advocate for respondents No. 5 to 7.
Mr. Akhil, Advocate for respondent No. 4.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. This petition was filed seeking direction to respondents No. 2 and 3 to protect the life and liberty of the petitioner and his family members as there was an alleged threat from respondents No. 4 to 7.
2. Notice of motion was issued.
3. No replies have been filed.
4. Learned counsel for the State on instructions from ASI Hardeep Singh submits that the representation of the petitioner dated 31.8.2018 was considered, the allegations were found false. During enquiry it revealed that there were financial transactions between the petitioner and the private respondents and resultantly the representation was filed.
5. In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the State, no further directions are called for.

6. The petition is disposed of.

7. Needless to say that the petitioners shall be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of the surviving grievance, if any.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

13th July, 2023

mk

1. Whether speaking/ reasoned : Yes / No
2. Whether reportable : Yes / No