

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

204+101

CWP-5613-2005 (O&M)

Date of decision: 01.12.2023

VISHAV KUMAR

...Petitioner

VERSUS

MC SAS NAGAR MOHALI AND ANR.

...Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEEPAK MANCHANDA

Present: Mr. Gurcharan Dass, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Ms. Jarnail Kaur Dhaliwal, Advocate
for the respondents.

DEEPAK MANCHANDA, J.(ORAL)

The present petition has been filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing of letter No.551 dated 03.02.2005 (Annexure P-16) passed by respondent No.1 ordering re-enquiry against the petitioner and further for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents to stop the enquiry against the petitioner and to act upon the report already submitted by the earlier Enquiry Officer to respondent No.1.

Vide order dated 16.11.2023, learned counsel for the respondents had made the statement that enquiry against the petitioner stands concluded and no action is proposed, accordingly benefits have also been released. In view of the same, learned counsel for the petitioner had sought time to have instructions.

Today, learned counsel for the petitioner on instructions submits that though most of the benefits have been received by the petitioner but for the remaining benefits, the petitioner be allowed to approach the respondents/department by moving a fresh representation, which be directed to be decided expeditiously.

Prayer is accepted.

In view of the statement made by learned counsel for the petitioner, nothing remains to be adjudicated in the present petition.

Hence, the present petition is disposed of accordingly.

All the pending miscellaneous applications, also stand disposed of.

December 01, 2023
nisha-II

(DEEPAK MANCHANDA)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No