

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH**

(118)

CWP-9557-2023

Date of decision: - 04.05.2023

Kallu Ram and another

....Petitioners

Versus**State of Haryana and others**

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. S.S. Dinarpur, Advocate
and Mr. Aman Godara, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Upasana Dhawan, AAG, Haryana
for respondents No.1 to 7.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

1. This is a civil writ petition filed under Articles 226/227 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari, mandamus or any other appropriate writ, order or direction directing the respondents to make necessary entries in the revenue record with respect to allotment of land measuring 16 kanal comprised in Mustill No.48, Killa No.4(8-0), 5(8-0) situated in the revenue estate of village Nanu Kalan, Hadbast No.27, Tehsil Pataudi, District Gururam.

2. Learned counsel for the petitioners has submitted that the petitioners, for the redressal of their grievance, had given a representation dated 02.11.2017 (Annexure P-11), but no decision on the same has been taken till date. It is further submitted that the petitioners would limit their prayer with respect to direction to the Tehsildar-cum-Public Information

Officer, Surplus Branch, Gurugram to consider the said representation dated 02.11.2017 (Annexure P-11) and in case the authority finds any merit in the same, then to take appropriate action in accordance with law.

3. Learned State counsel has submitted that the Tehsildar-cum-Public Information Officer, Surplus Branch, Gurugram would consider the said representation dated 02.11.2017 (Annexure P-11) and would pass an appropriate action thereon.

4. Keeping in view the above-said facts and circumstances, the present writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the Tehsildar-cum-Public Information Officer, Surplus Branch, Gurugram to consider the representation dated 02.11.2017 (Annexure P-11) in accordance with law within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order and in case the Tehsildar-cum-Public Information Officer, Surplus Branch, Gurugram is of the opinion that there is merit in the representation dated 02.11.2017 (Annexure P-11), then, necessary action in accordance with law be taken and in case the Tehsildar-cum-Public Information Officer, Surplus Branch, Gurugram is of the opinion that the plea raised by the petitioners is meritless, then, a speaking order with respect to the same be passed within a period of six weeks from the date of receipt of certified copy of this order.

May 04, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No