

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M No.22260 of 2023
Date of decision: 14th July, 2023

Navneet Kumar @ Vishal

... Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana

... Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE MANJARI NEHRU KAUL

Present: Mr. Gaurav Gaur, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. Rahul Mohan, Sr. Dy. Advocate General, Haryana
for the respondent/State.

MANJARI NEHRU KAUL, J. (ORAL)

1. The petitioner is seeking the concession of bail under Section 438 Cr.P.C. in case FIR No.228 dated 03.09.2022 for the offences under Sections 148, 323, 324, 506, 149 IPC (Section 326 IPC added later on) registered at Police Station Ambala Sadar, District Ambala.

2. Vide order dated 04.05.2023, the petitioner had been granted concession of interim bail in the following terms:-

“Learned counsel for the petitioner inter alia contends that it is a case of version and cross-version wherein injuries were sustained by both the sides in a case of sudden quarrel. While drawing the attention of this Court to the allegations levelled in the FIR (Annexure P-1) learned counsel submits that the petitioner has been attributed simple blunt injury on the arm of injured Prince

with a sword, which he was allegedly carrying at the time of occurrence in question. It has been further submitted that the injury inviting the mischief of Section 326 IPC has been attributed to co-accused Monu.”

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that in compliance of the order dated 04.05.2023, the petitioner has joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency.

4. Learned State counsel, on instructions from ASI Raghbir, does not dispute the factum of the petitioner having joined investigation and cooperated with the investigating agency. He, on further instructions submits that the petitioner is not required for further investigation much less for his custodial interrogation.

5. In the circumstances, the petition is allowed and the interim order dated 04.05.2023 is made absolute subject to the conditions laid down in Section 438(2) Cr.P.C. Needless to say, in case the petitioner misuses the concession of bail granted to him, the State would be at liberty to seek cancellation of the bail granted to him.

(MANJARI NEHRU KAUL)
JUDGE

July 14, 2023
rps

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No