

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

220

CRWP 4339 of 2023

Date of Decision: 26.05.2023

Gagandeep Kaur and
another

...Petitioner(s)

Vs.

State of Punjab and
others

...Respondent(s)

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE N.S.SHEKHAWAT

Present : Mr. Deepak Kohli, Advocate for
Mr. Sushil Kumar Verma, Advocate
for the petitioners.

Ms. Sheenu Sura, DAG, Haryana.

N.S.SHEKHAWAT, J. (Oral)

The petitioners, who are both majors, have filed the present petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of appropriate directions to respondents No. 1 to 3 to protect their lives and personal liberty as they apprehend imminent threat at the hands of respondents No. 4 to 8.

Learned counsel for the petitioners contends that the petitioners had performed marriage, however, respondents No. 4 to 8 were against the said relationship and were threatening to harm them.

A status report by way of an affidavit of the Deputy Superintendent of Police, Kalanwali, has been filed on behalf of the respondents No. 1 to 3 and the same is taken on record.

Learned State counsel submits that the statements of the private

respondents have been recorded during the course of inquiry and they have stated that they will not cause any harm to the present petitioners. She further contends that during the course of inquiry, it has been established that there is no threat to the lives and liberty of the present petitioners.

In view of the statement made by the learned State counsel, no further orders are required and the petition is disposed off accordingly.

26.05.2023
amit rana

(N.S.SHEKHAWAT)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking	:	Yes/No
Whether reportable	:	Yes/No