

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA AT
CHANDIGARH

(262)

CWP-11960-2022

Date of decision: - 24.02.2023

Satbir Singh

....Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

.....Respondents

CORAM : HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE VIKAS BAHL

Present:- Mr. Bhupinder Malik, Advocate
for the petitioner.

Mr. Rajneesh Chadwal, AAG, Haryana.

Mr. Suresh Nain, Advocate
for respondent No.5.

VIKAS BAHL, J. (ORAL)

This writ petition has been filed under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for the issuance of a writ, order or direction in the nature of mandamus directing respondent No.4 to conduct the enquiry in the complaint dated 07.10.2020 (Annexure P-2) and submit the report to respondent No.3.

Learned State counsel, on instructions from the department, has submitted that in the present case the inquiry has been conducted and the inquiry report has been submitted to the Deputy Commissioner, Jind on 26.08.2022 and thereafter, respondent No.4 has filed a civil suit i.e., CS/566/2022, in which, vide order dated 17.02.2023, the parties were directed to maintain status quo. It is further submitted that the future

course of action would be dependent upon the judgment that would be passed in the said civil suit. It is thus submitted that the present writ petition has been rendered infructuous.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, in view of the above-said statement of learned State counsel, has submitted the present writ petition be disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

In view of the above, the present writ petition is disposed of as having been rendered infructuous.

February 24, 2023
naresh.k

(VIKAS BAHL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned/speaking?
Whether reportable?

Yes/No
Yes/No