

2023:PHHC:111091

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

255 CRM-M-43411-2018
Date of decision: 24.08.2023.

RAGHU NANDAN ...PETITIONER

Versus

UT OF CHANDIGARH AND ORS ...RESPONDENTS

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Parveen Chauhan, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. J.S. Toor, Addl. PP, UT, Chandigarh.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J (Oral):

1. This petition has been filed under Section 482 CPC is seeking directions to respondent Nos. 2 and 3 to initiate legal action against respondent No.4 as he had duped the petitioner for Rs.One crore and two lakh. The grievance is that the representation (Annexure P-5) of the petitioner has not been decided.
2. Learned counsel for the UT, realizing upon pleadings and status report dated 24.02.2020, submits that the representation was considered and it was concluded that the dispute is civil in nature and no police action is called for.
3. In view of the status report filed no further directions are called for. The present petition is dismissed.
4. Needless to say that the petitioner shall be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law.

[AVNEESH JHINGAN]
JUDGE

24.08.2023.

pry

- | | | |
|-------------------------------|---|----------|
| 1. Whether speaking/ reasoned | : | Yes / No |
| 2. Whether reportable | : | Yes / No |