

206+252 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1. CRM-M-22431-2023
Date of decision: 25.05.2023

PRITPAL SINGH ...PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB ...RESPONDENT

2. CRM-M-25252-2023
RAMAN SANDHU @ RAMANDEEP SINGH ...PETITIONER
VERSUS
STATE OF PUNJAB ...RESPONDENT

CORAM: HON’BLE MR. JUSTICE VIVEK PURI

Present: Mr. Inderjit Sharma, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-22431-2023.

Mr. Vipin Mahajan, Advocate
for the petitioner in CRM-M-25252-2023.

Ms. Ruchika Sabherwal, DAG, Punjab.

VIVEK PURI,J. (ORAL)

1. Petitioners are seeking anticipatory bail in the case bearing FIR No.61 dated 29.03.2023, under Sections 307/323/324/341/506/427/148/149 IPC, registered at Police Station City Gurdaspur, District Gurdaspur.
2. Separate short reply by way of affidavit of Dr. Riputrapan Singh, PPS, Deputy Superintendent of Police, City, District Gurdaspur in both cases on behalf of the respondent-State have been filed and the same are taken on record.
3. Briefly, the FIR has been registered on the allegations that on 28.03.2023, Sukhwant Singh along with his nephew Akashdeep Singh had gone to Gurdaspur. On their journey back, they were joined by Sarabjot Singh and Sukhwinder Singh, the nephews of the complainant. They were proceeding on motorcycles. Meanwhile, white coloured Creta vehicle being driven by Raman

**CRM-M-22431-2023 and
CRM-M-25252-2023**

-2-

of the vehicle and had inflicted injuries on the person of Akashdeep Singh, Sarabjot Singh and Sukhwinder Singh. They were joined by 5-6 persons who followed in three vehicles.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner in CRM-M-22431-2023 contends that the injury on the left leg of Akashdeep Singh has been attributed to the petitioner. The medical opinion with regard to the said injury to be under Section 326 IPC has been procured after a lapse of period of 02 months. Moreover, the petitioner has been sought to be falsely implicated as the complainant party had inflicted injuries upon him in the year 2019.

5. Learned counsel for the petitioner in CRM-M-25252-2023 contends that the injuries by means of datar blow have been attributed on right leg of Sarabjot Singh. The same do not fall under Section 307 IPC and are simple in nature.

6. It is significant to note that the petitioners and the co-accused were about 13 in number. They had waylaid the complainant party and inflicted injuries by means of sharp edged weapons on the person of Akashdeep Singh, Sarabjot Singh and Sukhwinder Singh. The injury on the person of Akashdeep Singh has been declared to be dangerous to life. The injury under Section 326 IPC has been attributed to Pritpal Singh-petitioner. Moreover, the entire occurrence commenced at the instance of Raman Sandhu @ Ramandeep Singh-petitioner as he had struck the vehicle in the motorcycle being driven by the complainant party and thereafter, the co-accused came out of the vehicle and inflicted injuries. Furthermore, a perusal of the impugned order also indicates that the condition of Akashdeep Singh is still critical and as per CT Scan report, he has suffered comminuted depressed fracture of left frontal bone impinging upon the

CRM-M-22431-2023 and
CRM-M-25252-2023

-3-

underlying brain parenchyma with hemorrhagic contusions. It will be too early to conclude that Pritpal Singh-petitioner is sought to be falsely implicated on account of previous enmity.

7. Keeping in view the gravity of allegations and the mode and manner in which the injuries have been inflicted on the person of the injured, no exceptional circumstances are made out to extend the concession of pre-arrest bail to the petitioners.

8. In view of the above, the present petitions are dismissed.

25.05.2023
renubala

(VIVEK PURI)
JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned: Yes/No
Whether reportable: Yes/No