

**275 IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

CWP-11908-2021

Date of decision : 05.05.2023

Ruchira Sharma

...Petitioner

Vs.

Union Bank of India and others

...Respondents

CORAM:- HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE MANOJ BAJAJ

Present: Mr. R.K.Sharma, Advocate for the petitioner.

Mr. K.K.Gupta, Advocate for the respondents.

MANOJ BAJAJ, J.

By means of this writ petition filed under Article 226 Constitution of India petitioner has prayed for issuance of a writ in the nature of certiorari for quashing the orders dated 08.06.2021 (Annexure P-4) and 03.07.2021 (Annexure P-6) passed by respondent No.1, whereby firstly she was transferred from Chandigarh to Agra, and later she was relieved from Regional Office Chandigarh.

On 07.07.2021, this Court had passed the following order:-

“Taken up through video conferencing.

Learned counsel for the petitioner would contend that the petitioner is expecting and is due for delivery in the Month of July/August, 2021. This fact has specifically been brought to the notice of the respondent-Bank. Despite bringing the said fact to the notice of the respondent-Bank, contrary to the Transfer Policy, the petitioner has been transferred to Agra. Learned counsel would further contend that vide Annexure P-6 dated 03.07.2021 she has been directed to report to the Regional Office, Agra.

Notice of motion.

Mr. Mahesh Dheer, Advocate has put in appearance through video conferencing and accepts notice on behalf of respondent Nos.1 to 3.

*Let notice be issued to respondent No.4 returnable 27.09.2021.
Meanwhile, operation of the impugned order dated 03.07.2021 (Annexure P-6) shall remain stayed.”*

Today, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner states that with the passage of time, the short term ground raised in the writ petition has ceased to exist, therefore, the present petition is rendered infructuous.

Disposed of as such.

05.05.2023

vanita

(MANOJ BAJAJ)

JUDGE

Whether speaking/reasoned :

Whether Reportable :

Yes

Yes

No

No