

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

1.

CWP-11529-2020
Date of Decision:-14.11.2023
- Baljit Singh

... Petitioner(s)
- Versus
- State of Haryana and Another

... Respondent(s)
2.

CWP-14063-2020
- Ram Niwas and Others

... Petitioners
- Versus
- State of Haryana and Others

... Respondent(s)
3.

CWP-20930-2020
- Rajpal and Others

... Petitioner(s)
- Versus
- State of Haryana and Another

... Respondent(s)
4.

CWP-9799-2020
- Dev Raj Batra and Others

... Petitioner(s)
- Versus
- State of Haryana and Others

... Respondent(s)

5. CWP-3921-2021

Krishan Lal and Others

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Haryana and Others

... Respondent(s)

-. -

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE SANDEEP MOUDGIL

-. -

Present:- Mr. Sandeep Thakan, Advocate
for the petitioner(s) in **CWP-11529-2020, CWP-20930-2020,
CWP-9799-2020, CWP-14063-2020 and CWP-3921-2021.**

Mr. Hitesh Pandit, Advocate and
Mr. Divyansh Shukla, Advocate
for respondents No.3 and 4 in **CWP-9799-2020**
for respondents No.3 to 6 in **CWP-14063-2020** and
for respondents No.3 to 5 in **CWP-3921-2021.**

-. -

SANDEEP MOUDGIL, J.

1. Vide this common order, this Court intends to dispose off all the aforesaid petitions together, as common question of law is involved in all the petitions.

2. Just to avoid the repetition, facts are being taken from CWP-11529-2020 titled as '***Baljit Singh vs. State of Haryana and Anr.***'.

3. The jurisdiction of this Court has been invoked under Article 226 of the Constitution of India for issuance of a writ in the nature of

mandamus directing the respondents to grant the benefit of one increment, which becomes due on 01.07.2009 alongwith all consequential benefits with a further direction to respondents to revise the pay and pension accordingly and release all the pensionary benefits, arrears alongwith interest @ 18 % per annum.

4. The grievance of the petitioner(s) in all the petitions is that they have not been granted the benefit of one notional increment with pensionary benefits which became due w.e.f., 01.07.2009, in the light of amendment in Rule 10 of Haryana Civil Services Revised Pay Rules, 2008 and Rule 20 of Haryana Civil Services (ACP) Rules, 2008.

5. Learned counsel for the parties concede the factum that the issue raised in the aforesaid petitions is covered by the judgment of the Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in Civil Appeal No. 2471 of 2023 titled as **The Director (Admn. And HR) KPTCL & Others Vs. C.P. Mundinamani and others**, decided on **11.04.2023**, hence, the present petitions be also disposed of in the same terms and conditions.

5. Ordered accordingly.

6. A photocopy of this order be placed on the files of connected cases.

14.11.2023
Sham

(SANDEEP MOUDGIL)
JUDGE

Whether reasoned / speaking Yes / No
Whether reportable? Yes / No