

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB & HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH**

273/2

CRM-M-26408-2021
Date of Decision: 02.08.2023

Vikas Mangal

.....Petitioner

Versus

State of Punjab

.....Respondent

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AVNEESH JHINGAN

Present: Mr. Shivender, Advocate for
Mr. B.S.Bhalla, Advocate for the petitioner.

Ms. Navreet K. Barnala, AAG, Punjab.

AVNEESH JHINGAN, J. (Oral)

1. This petition was filed aggrieved of order dated 04.06.2021 whereby the application for preserving the call details along with tower location of mobile numbers mentioned therein, relating to FIR No. 344 dated 13.07.2020 registered under Sections 22 and 29 (and now added Section 25) of Narcotic Drugs and Psychotropic Substances Act, 1985 at Police Station City Barnala was rejected.

2. Learned State counsel filed the reply today. In the reply, Annexure R-I dated 30.03.2023 a communication from Airtel is attached; stating that since the record is older than two years, the company is unable to provide it.

3. Learned counsel for the petitioner raises a grievance that it was due to delay on the part of the State that no cause of action has survives.

4. In view of the factual position noted above, no further directions are called for.
5. The petition is disposed of as infructuous.
6. However, petitioner shall be at liberty to avail remedies in accordance with law for redressal of surviving grievances.

(AVNEESH JHINGAN)

JUDGE

02.08.2023

Rajeev (rvs)

Whether speaking/reasoned	Yes/No
Whether reportable	Yes/No