

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA

AT CHANDIGARH

CRM-M-23187-2022 (O&M)

Reserved on: 16.01.2023

Pronounced on: 06.02.2023

Chuhar Khan & others

... Petitioner(s)

Versus

State of Punjab & others

...Respondent (s)

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present:- Mr. Imran Farooqi, Advocate
for the petitioner(s).

Mr. H.S. Sitta, DAG, Punjab.

Mr. Ravi Malik, Advocate
for respondents no.2 & 3.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

FIR No.	Dated	Police Station	Sections
206	11.12.2020	Sadar Ahmedgarh, District Sangrur	341, 324, 323, 148, 149 IPC

The petitioners, arraigned as accused in the above captioned FIR, have come up before this Court under Section 482 CrPC for quashing of the FIR and all consequential proceedings based on the compromise with the aggrieved persons.

2. During the pendency of the petition, the accused and the aggrieved persons have compromised the matter, and its copy is annexed with this petition as Annexure P-2.

3. After that, the petitioners came up before this Court to quash the FIR, and in the quashing petition, impleading the aggrieved persons as respondents no.2 and 3.

4. As per the report of JMIC, Malerkotla, the statement of aggrieved person Alam (respondent no.3) could not be recorded because he did not come present before the Court despite one more opportunity granted to him to appear before the trial Court.

5. Since all the aggrieved persons did not agree for compromise, as such this court cannot proceed further in this matter.

6. Given above, the petition is dismissed. However, liberty is reserved to the petitioners to file a fresh petition and there would be no necessity re-examine the aggrieved persons(s) and the accused persons(s), whose statements stand recorded. The copies of the statements along with report would suffice.

Petition dismissed in the terms mentioned above, with liberty reserved.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

06.02.2023
AK/anju rani

Whether speaking/reasoned	:	Yes
Whether reportable	:	No