

CRWP No.4362-2023

215

IN THE HIGH COURT OF PUNJAB AND HARYANA
AT CHANDIGARH

CRWP No.4362-2023

Date of decision: July 13, 2023

Randhir Singh @ Bhagat Ji

...Petitioner

Versus

State of Haryana and others

...Respondents

CORAM: HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE ANOOP CHITKARA

Present: Mr.Vikram Singh Punia, Advocate for the petitioner(s).

Mr. Rajat Gautam, Addl.A.G., Haryana.

ANOOP CHITKARA, J.

The present petition has been filed by the petitioner, who is about 75 years old, for issuance of writ in the nature of mandamus directing the respondents No.4 and 6 to constitute a Special Investigation Team (SIT) comprising of Senior Officials of the State level from the police and Revenue Department for conducting an independent and transparent probe in the criminal act of illegal and unauthorized occupation of the agricultural land of the petitioner by respondents No.10 in connivance with respondents No.8 and 9 along with further prayers.

2. Counsel for the petitioner submits that he would be confining his prayer to the extent of protection of life and liberty of the petitioner at this stage, reserving his right to take other legal remedies for remaining prayers including filing of fresh writ petition.

3. Notices served upon the official respondents through the State's counsel. Given the nature of the order that this Court proposes to pass, neither the response of official respondents nor the issuance of notices to the private respondents is required.

4. If the allegations of apprehension of threat to life turn out to be true, it might lead to an irreversible loss. Thus, in the facts and circumstances peculiar to this case, it shall be appropriate that the concerned Superintendent of Police, SHO, or any officer to whom such powers have been delegated or have been authorized in this regard, provide appropriate protection to the petitioner for one week from today. However, if the petitioner and her family members no longer require the protection, then at his request, it may be discontinued even before the expiry of one week. After that, the concerned officers shall extend the protection on day-to-day analysis of the ground realities or upon the oral or written request of the petitioner.

5. This protection is subject to the stringent condition that from the time such protection is given, the petitioner shall not go outside the boundaries of the place of residence, except for medical necessities, to buy household necessities, and for bereavements in the families of the close relatives or close friends. This restriction saves the petitioner from apprehended risk and ensures that the protection is not flaunted.

6. It is clarified that there is no adjudication on merits and that this order is not a blanket bail in any FIR. It is further clarified that this order shall not come in the way if the interrogation of the petitioner is required in any cognizable case. It shall also be open for the petitioner to approach this Court again in case of any fresh threat perception.

7. **This order shall eclipse after thirty days from today.**

8. Liberty reserved to the petitioner to file a fresh representation to the concerned Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police, within fifteen days from today. If the petitioner files a representation within the above-mentioned time period of fifteen days, then, the same shall be decided by the concerned Superintendent of Police/Commissioner of Police, within two months.

9. *There would be no need for a certified copy of this order, and any Advocate for the Petitioner and State can download this order and other relevant particulars from the official web page of this court and attest it to be a true copy. The concerned officer can also verify its authenticity and may download and use the downloaded copy for immediate use.*

Petition is allowed to the extent mentioned above. All pending applications, if any, stand disposed.

(ANOOP CHITKARA)
JUDGE

July 13, 2023
sonia arora

Whether speaking/reasoned:	Yes
Whether reportable:	No.